

Serial No. 10/809,278
Amendment and Response to
Office Action mailed December 2, 2005

Filed: March 25, 2004

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 have been canceled. New Claims 9-33 have been added to claim subject matter described in the application. No new matter has been added. Reconsideration of the pending Claims is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to the Claims and the following remarks.

Specification

Applicant has provided a new title of the invention as required in the office action mailed December 2, 2005.

Claim Rejections

Claims 1, 4-6 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0078752 to Johnson, (hereinafter "Johnson"). In addition, Claims 2-3 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious in view of Johnson in combination with U.S. Patent No. 6,598,076 to Chang et al. (hereinafter "Chang"). Also, Claims 7-8 were rejected pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious in view of Johnson in combination with U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0143885 to Ross, (hereinafter "Ross"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections since each and every limitation provided in new Claims 9-33 are not taught, suggested, or disclosed by the prior art either alone or in combination.

For example, independent Claim 9 describes a communication terminal that includes a communication unit, a storage, and a control unit. Claim 9 also describes that the control unit is operable to execute a first application that is operable to identify a second application configured to process data received by the communication unit. The first application is further executable to identify the second application on the basis of an attribute of the data, and to store the data in a data reception area of the storage, the data reception area being assigned to the second application. None of the cited prior art either alone or in combination teach such limitations.

Independent Claim 15 is directed to a method that includes the steps of providing a first application operable on a communication terminal to generate an email message, to transmit an email message to an email server, to receive an email message from said email server, or to open an email message. The method also includes providing a second application operable on said communication terminal, said second application executable to generate an email message or to

Serial No. 10/809,278
Amendment and Response to
Office Action mailed December 2, 2005

Filed: March 25, 2004

open an email message. In addition the method includes receiving an email message from said email server with said first application, wherein only said first application is operable to communicate with said email server. None of the cited prior art, either alone or in combination teach such limitations.

Independent Claim 21 describes a method that includes the steps of providing a first application executable on a communication terminal to generate an email message, to transmit an email message to an email server, to receive an email message from said email server, and to open an email message. The method also describes the step of providing a second application executable on said communication terminal to generate an email message, to open an email message, and to cooperatively operate with said first application, wherein only said first application is operable to communicate with said email server. In addition, the method describes generating an email message with said second application, and with said second application, enabling said first application to transmit said email message. None of the cited prior art, either alone or in combination teach such limitations.

Independent Claim 27 includes a first email application operable in a mobile communication terminal to generate or open email messages, and a second email application downloadable to said mobile communication terminal. The second email application also operable in said mobile communication terminal to generate or open email messages. Only said first email application is enabled to communicate with an email server to transmit and receive email messages. None of the cited prior art, either alone or in combination teach such limitations.

For at least the foregoing reasons, the presently pending Claims 9, 15, 21, and 27 and the Claims dependent therefrom are patentable over the prior art of record. Accordingly, with this amendment and response, Applicant believes that Claims 9-33 are allowable, and respectfully

Scrial No. 10/809,278
Amendment and Response to
Office Action mailed December 2, 2005

Filed: March 25, 2004

requests the Examiner to issue a Notice of Allowance for this application. Should the Examiner deem a telephone conference to be beneficial in expediting allowance/examination of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Sanders N. Hillis
Attorney for Applicant
Attorney Reg. No. 45,712

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
One Indiana Square, Suite 1600
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Telephone: 317-636-0886
Facsimile: 317-634-6701